Science Department (General Studies – 80600) Course Assessment from Spring 2011, or 2010-11 year

Course Assessed	General Anatomy & Physiology (GAP) – 10-806-177
Assessment	Overall process selected was a summative end-of-year assessment – using the Ed
Process/Design	Assess software to enable more detailed analysis directly correlated with the course
	Learning Objectives. Health science faculty worked collaboratively; each developed
	to address selected competencies. The developed-questions were linked to
	appropriate indicators (learning objectives), with the assessment items placed into a
	Google Doc for analysis, then transferred to Blackboard for student use.
	All students in the GAP classes across the college – both face-to-face and online
	students – took the assessment at the end of the semester over Blackboard. They
	were allowed 90 minutes to complete the assessment. It was <u>not</u> graded in this first
	year of the assessment.
Results and	Overall the Ed Assess process worked exceptionally well, enabling detailed
Analysis	analysis of the test questions, and modifications of these for future use. The
	student scores were reasonable for an un-graded assessment. There were two
	"wrong answers" in the scoring, so the final percentage outcome was not a full
	indicator of student success. There were some learning objectives identified where
	the faculty will be able to place a stronger emphasis in the learning experiences.
Action Plan	Based upon the first-year assessment of GAP in the Spring 2011 semester:
relative to	Continue to use Ed Assess (where appropriate) for course assessments – it is an
results	excellent instrument that enables targeted analysis very effectively.
	Make adjustments in the instrument and scoring criteria so the assessment is
	more effective in measuring student learning outcomes.
	Some instructional modifications
11	• At the Mar 2012 west to the estate for the section in the CAR
Implementation	At the May 2012 meeting, the science faculty again reviewed and revised the GAP
Assessment	Common Assessment. We looked at each competency for each class; online and
(following year)	face-to-face. Each instructor found that there were areas of concern that will
	require changes to our teaching methods/practices. We discussed adding projects
	and/or learning activities as needed for each instructor's area of weakness. As a
	group we discussed and shared ideas for improvement and worked on updating
	courses accordingly. Incredible follow-through benefits from this assessment!